THE COURT: Mr. Moses?

MR. MOSES: Yes, Thank you.

3

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

By Mr. Moses: May it please the Court and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, when we first talked to you about your qualifications to sit and serve in this particular case, both sides mentioned to you that statements of counsel were not evidence and were not to be considered by you as evidence, and that opening statements are designed for the purpose of giving an outline to you of the things that are important and the issues that will arise for your consideration. That is what was said. That is what we tried to impress upon you and I am always been impressed with Mr. Racicot when he makes a final statement in his opening statement trying to convince you people with respect to the capabilities of Mr. Beach; it was a final statement, and I just want to emphasize that fact to those people that have not served as jurors before will probably recognize that it is a final statement and not simply a statement to give an outline of the case, that is at least, in my view. One of the things that is not mentioned by Mr. Racicot in his opening statement is that this is what is known in the trade as a circumstantial evidence case. (At this time, counsel moves the blackboard in front of the jury) When we examined you people as jurors I believe you can recall

CALMER A. ERSNESS

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
P. O. BOX 978

WOLF POINT, MONTANA 59201

that I said there are two types of evidence admissible in the court, both direct and circumstantial evidence. I also said there was no preconceived ideas which would somehow influence or catch your attention in connection with this case. You are to keep an open minds, you are to have no preconceived ideas and give everybody an opportunity to present the evidence in this particular case. Now then, what is important in a circumstantial evidence case is the physical facts. There will be testimony in this particular case about a palm print and people will come forward and testify about a palm print. The record is not clear about the palm print by the experts as to whether it is a bloody palm print that was found on the vehicle. The evidence will show, however, that it is not the bloody palm print of Mr. Beach, but of somebody else. That is a physical fact. Two: Blood typing. There will be testimony for your consideration as to the blood type in this particular case, that you will hear from the witness stand and I believe you will be advised and I believe the testimony will show that there was no blood of Barry Beach ever found in the vehicle anyplace and that there was no blood of Miss Nees ever found in connection with anything that had to do with Barry Beach. Now then, fingerprints: There will be testimony here about fingerprints in the car, that these fingerprints were in the car. The testimony will show that there were no fingerprints of Barry Beach in the car, but that

2

3

5

7

13

14

15

16 17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CALMER A. ERSNESS
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
P.O. BOX 978
WOLF POINT. MONTANA \$9201

there was positive identification. You will have an opportunity to weigh and assess the positive identification of the fingerprints that were in the car. Hair Classification: You will have an opportunity to see for yourself, the testimony with respect to the hair classification that are involved in this particular case. You will have an opportunity to view that testimony and see for yourself whether there is in fact any connection at all with Barry Beach. I think the evidence will prove that the palm print is not his, the blood type is no comparison, the fingerprints are not his, and there are positive identification and there will be hair classification which you can take into account. Those are the things that are important in talking about circumstantial evidence and the sufficiency of the evidence to not simply assume that there is something -- some connection with Mr. Beach, but you have the prosecution prove that there is some physical facts here that would be helpful in trying to make out the State's case. The fifth thing, is footprints, so that you can see for yourself and have the witnesses testify specifically as to the footprints that were recovered or measured and if there is any connection of any kind under any circumstances with Mr. Beach; and you will have those things for your consideration as to the physical facts in this particular case. You also have an opportunity as they have said, in connection to with the bloody towel and whether there is as a part of the

1

2

3

4

7

8

9.

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

23

CALMER A. ERSNESS
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
P. O. BOX 978
WOLF POINT. MONTANA 59201

evidence in this case, any connection at all with respect to a bloody towel to Mr. Beach. I believe that the evidence will show that the answer is no. Number Seven: Now you have the fact that Mr. Racicot spent three quarters of his time on, discussing the matter with you, and that is an alledged confession and you will have an opportunity to learn all about the Mutt and Jeff routine if you don't know about it now. You will have an opportunity to determine whether this was the best and most complete confession. You will have an opportunity to make a determination for yourself, without the assistance of any attorney but you will have an opportunity to simply hear from the stand what the witnesses have to say surrounding the circumstances in connection with the taking of the statement from Mr. Beach. You can make your own determination as to that. You can make your decision, based upon that evidence; and finally, in connection with this case, Mr. Racicot has talked a bit about the events of the day. In that connection, there has been statements taken of witnesses as to their whereabouts and what they did during that particular day, evening or early morning hours. It was done by the FBI, done by the Roosevelt County and of course we have those statements available to us so that the witnesses may refresh their memory as to the events of the day and underneath that section, the question of motive, to sustain any of the voluntary allegations that Mr. Racicot has made in connection

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

24

25

CALMER A. ERSNESS

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
P. O. BOX 978

WOLF POINT. MONTANA 59201

with these statements. Now those are the things that are important in a case. Those are issues that you will have to consider and to listen to. You will have to be in a position to assess and determine whether the palm print of Barry Beach is that palm print, or is it someone else's palm print. Whether the blood typing could establish any connection between Kim Nees and Barry Beach. Whether the fingerprints that were taken by good law enforcement work could be connected to Barry Beach or whether there are positive identification of others that have been established by these fingerprints; you will have to determine the hair classification as to whether that evidence is significant or whether to accept the bald conclusion that there is some connection between the hair classification and Barry Beach; and you will have to have a determination as to what the footprints means to you in connection with good law enforcement; and you will have to consider the bloody towel and whether there was any connection at all as stated in this case; and then you will have to take into account and take into consideration reading -- the only, the only evidence that is positive in this case, in our view, and that is a statement or a confession that was extracted from this particular defendant, and that is a judgment that you will have to make, and all of the circumstances, having in mind the events of the day and the complete absence of motive. That is what we will do in connection with

1

3

10

11

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

CALMER A. ERSNESS

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

P. O. BOX 978

WOLF POINT, MONTANA 59201

particular case; there will be witnesses that will be called by the prosecution; they may be witnesses that we will have testify on our behalf but they can call the witnesses first. We will have an opportunity as the prosecution will have an opportunity to try to explain to you all of these issues so that you can have a perfect opportunity to weigh those issues, give the witnesses such weight as you feel they 8 deserve and then reach a conclusion about the connection or lack of connection with respect to the physical facts in this case and Mr. Beach. We would expect and not only hope, but we would expect that you will keep an open mind and examine all of these pieces of evidence critically so that you will have a full picture of the whole story and once you have that full picture then I believe you will be in a position to arrive at a just conclusion in this particular case. The purpose of an opening statement is simply to acquaint you with what you will be confronted with during the course of the trial and we urge you to listen to their testimony of their witnesses, listen carefully if you would, so that you will have the whole story. Thank you very much.

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Okay, call your first witness.

STATE'S CASE

MR. RACICOT: Thank you. We would call Shannon O'Brien as our first witness.

> CALMER A. ERSNESS OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER P. O. BOX 978 WOLF POINT, MONTANA 59201